



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,543	02/07/2002	Devon Byrd	0942.5230001/RWE/B-C	7830

26111 7590 03/20/2003

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

[REDACTED]

STRZELECKA, TERESA E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1637	10

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,543	BYRD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Teresa E Strzelecka	1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____ .
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-57 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) 1, 3-57 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____ .
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 3-6, 13-16, 34, 35, 54, drawn to an isolated DNA molecule comprising all or a portion of at least two Ter sites, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for example.
 - II. Claims 7-12, 17-19, 34, 35, 44-56, drawn to a modified Ter-binding protein and methods of using it, classified in class 530, subclass 350, for example.
 - III. Claims 20-24 and 57, drawn to a method of directional cloning, the method comprising providing a nucleic acid comprising Ter-sites or portions thereof, providing a vector comprising Ter-sites or portions thereof, inserting the nucleic acid molecule into the vector and selecting the vector with nucleic acid in the desired orientation, classified in class 435, subclass 91.4, for example.
 - IV. Claims 25-27, drawn to a method of attaching a nucleic acid to a solid support, the method comprising attaching one or more Ter-binding proteins to a solid support and contacting the Ter-binding protein with a nucleic acid comprising a Ter-site, classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.
 - V. Claims 28-33, drawn to a method of improving the transfection efficiency of a nucleic acid by contacting the nucleic acid with a Ter-binding protein, classified in class 435, subclass 471, for example.
 - VI. Claims 36-38, drawn to a method of improving the stability of a linear nucleic acid molecule in vivo, the method comprising providing a nucleic acid molecule comprising one or more Ter sites, contacting the nucleic acid with a Ter-binding

protein to form nucleic acid-protein complex and introducing the complex into a host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.

VII. Claims 39-43, drawn to a method for detecting a biological molecule, the method comprising contacting a biological molecule with a reagent comprising a nucleic acid portion and a portion capable of forming a specific complex with the biological molecule to form a detection mixture, contacting the detection mixture with a nucleic acid binding protein comprising a detection molecule, where the protein binds to the nucleic acid portion of the reagent, determining the presence or absence of the detection molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different chemical types regarding the critical limitations therein. For Group I, the critical feature is a polynucleotide whereas for Group II the critical feature is a polypeptide. The completely separate chemical types of the inventions of Groups I and II supports the undue search burden if both were examined together. Additionally, polypeptides of Group II are not encoded by polynucleotides of Group I.

3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant

case the method of Group III can be practiced with an entirely different product, such as a vector and nucleic acid with two distinct restriction sites.

4. Inventions I, II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Group IV can be practiced with an entirely different product, such as chemical linker for attaching nucleic acid to a solid substrate.

5. Inventions I, II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Group V can be practiced with an entirely different product, such as a liposome in which the nucleic acid is encapsulated for transfection into a cell.

6. Inventions I, II and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Group VI can be practiced with an entirely different product, such as nucleic acids chemically modified to resist nuclease degradation in the cell.

7. Inventions I, II and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as

claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Group VII can be practiced with an entirely different product, such as any nucleic acid binding protein and any nucleic acid.

8. Inventions III-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to methods with different starting materials, method steps and goals.

9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

10. Claims 39-43 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising A) any biological reagent comprising a nucleic acid and a target-specific binding portion, any nucleic-acid binding protein labeled with a detection molecule (claims 39, 42 and 43) and B) nucleic acid portion of the reagent comprising Ter sites and the nucleic acid binding protein being Ter-binding protein. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E Strzelecka whose telephone number is (703) 306-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

March 16, 2003

Teresa Strzelecka

Patent Examiner

Teresa Strzelecka

03/16/03